

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2001
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

- A087395/S099477 People v. Anthony Jay Craver et al. – October 29, 2001.
- A088415/S099686 People v. Jeanyne Lynn Lotti – November 5, 2001.
- A090166/S099485 People v. Joe Quintana – October 29, 2001.
- B134608/S099724 People v. Michael James Phillips – November 6, 2001.
- B135633/S099617 People v. Alex Arturo Pagan et al. – November 1, 2001.
- B135758/S099705 People v. Desmond Lee McKenzie – November 5, 2001.
- B138316/S099451 People V. William Alan Gray – October 26, 2001.
- B141324/S099805 People v. Lawrence Conway – November 6, 2001.
- B142144/S099677 People v. Lee Chamberlain – November 5, 2001.
- B143467/S099826 People v. Robert Mariano Orona – November 8, 2001.
- B151504/S099481 Adolfo Bojorquez v. Los Angeles County Superior Court;
People, RPI – October 26, 2001.
- B152838/S099834 Robert Cooper v. Los Angeles County Superior Court;
People, RPI – November 7, 2001.
- C030761/S099590 People v. Scott Lewis Rendelman – October 26, 2001.
- C032271/S099431 People v. David Earl Wilson – October 26, 2001.
- D033178/S099526 People v. James Leroy Jefferson – October 31, 2001.
- D035434/S099791 People v. Deandre Maurice Lambert – November 5, 2001.

E026256/S099757 People v. Ricardo Cruz – November 7, 2001.

G024465/S099511 People v. Henry Yovany DeLeon; And Companion Cases – October 26, 2001.

G025169/S099584 People v. James John Sidoti, Jr. – October 30, 2001.

H020610/S099656 People v. Michael A. Silva – November 6, 2001.

H021311/S099501 In re Robert T., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law; People v. Robert T. – October 29, 2001.

H023301/S099726 Marcos H. v. Santa Clara County Superior Court; People, RPI – November 5, 2001.

S012279 People, Respondent
v.

David Allan Lucas, Appellant

The application of appellant for an extension of time in which to file a reply to news reporters' opposition to unsealing of reporter's transcript is denied as moot.

S014394 People, Respondent
v.

Fermin Rodriguez Ledesma, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including November 20, 2001.

S016730 People, Respondent
v.

Raymond Edward Steele, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including October 17, 2001.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S021054 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Russell Moon, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including November 5, 2001.

S027766 People, Respondent

v.

Stephen Cole, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including October 4, 2001.

No further extensions of time granted absent a showing of substantial progress since the thirteenth application for extension of time to file the brief.

S029490 People, Respondent

v.

David Earl Williams, Appellant

In light of Deputy State Public Defender Ellen Eggers' representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief within six months, by March of 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief, to and including November 19, 2001, is granted.

S045184 People, Respondent

v.

Steven Wayne Bonilla, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including November 5, 2001.

S056891 People, Respondent

v.

James A. Thompson, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including November 20, 2001, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on the Supreme Court upon its filing in the trial court.

S087490 In re Martin Kipp
 on
 Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including October 18, 2001.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S089357 In re Kristin William Hughes
 on
 Habeas Corpus

Due to clerical error, the order filed in the above matter on September 18, 2001, is amended to read as follows:

On the representation by counsel Morris Lenk that he anticipates filing the informal response within five months, by February 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the informal response, to and including October 10, 2001, is granted.

S099587 In re William Michael Dennis
 on
 Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including October 15, 2001.

S099547 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys
 For Nonpayment of Dues

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on August 17, 2001, effective September 1, 2001, be amended *nunc pro tunc* to strike the name of **Sandeep Baweja**.

S100600 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Daunya Lynn Moore**

A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Daunya Lynn Moore, State Bar No. 177727**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should she hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)